Oak Park Citizens' Oversight Committee 2013 Measure C6 Annual Report June, 2014

Background

On June 6, 2006 the Oak Park Unified School District (the "District") was authorized to issue and sell bonds up to \$17,500,000 in aggregate principal to provide financing for the following school facilities projects and equipment:

Educational technology
Classroom furniture and equipment
Playground equipment
District vehicles
Food preparation and kitchen equipment
Equipment replacement

Measure C6 was approved by at least 55% of the voters of the District pursuant to the provisions of the Strict Accountability in Local School Construction Bonds Act of 2000, codified under Sections 15264 et seq. of the Education Code (Proposition 39). The Act requires the Board of Trustees (the "Board") to appoint a Citizens' Oversight Committee (the "Committee") after the successful bond election. Legal authority for formation and duties of the Committee is found in Sections 15264 and 15278 to 15282 of the Education Code.

Committee Purpose

The Committee is charged by statute with the following purposes:

- 1. To promptly alert the public to any waste or improper expenditure of school construction bond money. *Educ. Code* §15264(c).
- 2. To inform the public concerning the expenditure of bond revenues. *Educ. Code* §15278(b).
- 3. To ensure that bond revenues are expended only for the purposes described in the Constitution; that is, for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of real property for school facilities. *Calif. Const. art. XIIIA*, §1(b)(3); Educ. Code §15278(b)(1).
- 4. To ensure that no funds are used for any teacher or administrative salaries or other school operating expenses. *Calif. Const. art. XIIIA*, §1(b)(3)(A); Educ. Code §15278(b)(2).

Committee Jurisdiction and Authorized Activities

In furtherance of its purposes, the Committee is authorized by statute to engage in the following activities:

- 1. Receive and review copies of the annual, independent performance audit required by the California Constitution.
- 2. Receive and review copies of the annual, independent financial audit required by the California Constitution.

Oak Park Citizens' Oversight Committee Measure C6 Annual Report Page Two

- 3. Inspect school facilities and grounds to ensure that bond revenues are expended in compliance with the requirements of paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) of Section 1 of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution.
- 4. Receive and review copies of any deferred maintenance proposals or plans developed by the District, including any reports required to be given to the Legislature by Section 17584.I regarding a failure to set aside ½ of 1% of current year revenues for deferred maintenance expenditures.
- 5. Review efforts by the District to maximize bond revenues by implementing cost saving measures, including, but not limited to, all of the following:
 - a. Mechanisms designed to reduce the costs of professional fees.
 - b. Mechanisms designed to reduce the cost of site preparation.
 - c. Recommendations regarding the joint use of core facilities.
- d. Mechanisms designed to reduce costs by incorporating efficiencies in school site design.
- e. Recommendations regarding the use of cost-effective and efficient reusable facility plans.

It is also important to note that this Committee is specifically <u>not</u> responsible for, and has no jurisdiction over, the approval of contracts, the approval of change orders, the appropriation of funds, the handling of any legal matters, the approval of any plans or schedules, the approval of deferred maintenance plans, or the approval of the sale of bonds. The Committee is also not responsible for the creation or approval of technology plans or the recommendation or approval of any specific technologies.

It is within these parameters that the Committee performed its functions during the calendar year ended December 31, 2012.

Audits

California State law requires that the sponsoring school district of an approved measure conduct an annual independent performance audit to ensure that bond funds have been expended only on the specific projects included on the list of projects the district presented to voters prior to approval of Measure C6.

Proposition 39 requires that the school district conduct an annual independent financial audit of the proceeds from the sale of the bonds until all of the proceeds have been expended for approved projects.

The annual financial and performance audits of OPUSD Measure C6 bond expenditures were conducted by Christy White Associates, A Professional Accountancy Corporation, an independent CPA firm. In the firm's report of the audits, they note: "We found the expenditures and transfers tested to be in compliance with the terms of the Measure C6 ballot measure, Facilities Plan, and applicable state laws and regulations without exception."

Oak Park Citizens' Oversight Committee Measure C6 Annual Report Page Three

Special note: The Committee report includes expenditures for the 12 month period from January 2012 through December 2013 (calendar year basis). Expenditures for July 2012 through June 2013 have been audited (school fiscal year basis). The Committee report also includes expenditures between July 2013 and December 2013 that have not as yet been audited. The 6 month period between July 2013 through December 2013 will be included in the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014 audit report.

Committee Findings

The Oak Park Unified School District's Citizens' Oversight Committee met on September 11, 2013, January 28, 2014, April 3, 2014 and May 7, 2014. The Committee has reviewed the audits of C6 bond expenditures conducted by Christy White Associates for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2013, as well as unaudited expenditures through December 31, 2013, as reported by District staff. This report and more information regarding the Citizens' Oversight Committee can be found on the Oak Park School District's website (www.oakparkusd.org).

C6 expenditures during the calendar year 2013 reporting period were \$627,389. Cumulative C6 bond expenditures total \$8,929,327 from inception through December 31, 2013. The Committee finds and notes the following:

- 1. The Bond Proceeds were expended only for the purposes set forth in Measure C6.
- 2. The District staff reported to the Committee that during the 2013 calendar year \$61,894 of Measure C6 funds were used for certain administrative salaries and benefits allocated to time spent administering the bond program, including time spent working directly on acquiring and installing equipment authorized by Measure C6. In connection with its review of expenditure of Measure C6 funds, the Committee requested from the District a copy of the opinion rendered by District bond counsel (Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP) regarding the legality of such expenditures. In its written opinion, Counsel opined that necessary and incidental costs of the bond program, which may include portions of certain administrative expenses allocated to time spent working directly on acquiring and installing equipment authorized by Measure C6, would be an appropriate expenditure of Measure C6 funds. Counsel also noted that the savings that may be realized by using district staff instead of paid consultants and contractors to perform bond-related work was squarely within the express cost-saving purposes of applicable law.
- 3. In its Annual Report for 2013, the Committee noted its concern regarding the rate at which the bonds were being spent and the bond payback periods. The Oak Park Unified School District issued a response to the Committee on October 15, 2013. The C6 Annual Report referenced a payback period on the bond issuances through 2012, and extending into 2035. This information was obtained from the 2012 Christy White Associates audit.

The School Board responded to this finding by stating the payback periods were "more

Oak Park Citizens' Oversight Committee Measure C6 Annual Report Page Four

commonly within 6-9 years." That was in reference to the bond issued October 2013.

In order to resolve the confusion around the payback periods for C6 bond issuances, the OPCOC obtained the bond schedules for these issuances directly from the county and has included them as an addendum to this report. The bond issued September 2001 for \$5.011 million has a payback period of 21 years, and the bond issued April 2009 for \$4.999 million has a payback period of 25 years. These are the bonds the C6 Committee was referencing in their annual report, section four. The bond issued October 2013 for \$3.655 million has a payback period of 14 years. This was the bond the School Board referenced in their response.

As of May, 2014, the cumulative amount of C6 bonds is \$13.665 million and will be paid back over a 26 year period, 2007 to 2033. According to the bond amortization schedules made available from the county, \$1.765 million of this principal was paid through 2013 while the remaining \$11.9 million will be paid 2014 through 2033.

Given the bond amortization schedules provided, the Committee would like to reaffirm last year's report findings under section four. At the current pace of expenditures, the District is paying for technology that lasts, conservatively 5-10 years, with bond proceeds that will be paid back over a 21, 25 or 14 year period. The Committee hopes in the future, newly issued bond repayments more accurately match the useful lifetime of the technology being purchased with bond proceeds.

- 4. The Technology Committee mission as shown on the District website does not include any explicit consideration of fiscal constraints in making technology decisions. In its mission statement as posted on the District website (www.oakparkusd.org), the Facilities Planning Committee is charged with taking an active role in the review of expenditures of the \$29.5 million of Measure R bond funds, including project prioritization. The Committee repeats its prior recommendation that the District modify the mission statement of the Technology Committee to include, as part of its mission, a similar charge of taking an active role in technology project prioritization in light of availability of C6 bond funds over the life of the bonds (*i.e.*, through at least 2035)
- 5. The Committee again recommends that the district implement a more efficient system for tracking and auditing use of technology. The Committee also recommends that the District implement a way of measuring the effectiveness of all the technology in the classrooms.

Oak Park Citizens' Oversight Committee Measure C6 Annual Report Page Five

Report prepared by Carl Belichesky, Chair, Measure C6 Citizens' Oversight Subcommittee May, 2014

Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee Members

Carl Belichesky Steve Golove Sherwin Samuels Michael Schneider Marc Shapiro Jason Wilburn Shary Trux James Faul Ronald Movich Daniel Perini

Helene Winston Vance Taylor

Respectfully submitted,

OAK PARK CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE, MEASURE C6

Daniel Perini, Committee Chair

Carl Belichesky, Measure C6 Subcommittee Chair

OAK PARK BONDS ISSUED FOR MEASURE C6

Issued	September 2007 for 21 years				April 2009 for 25 years				October 2013 for 14 years					Cumulative			
	\$ 5,011,136.80				\$ 4,999,686.40				\$ 3,655,000.00				\$	13,665,823.20			
	Principle	Interest	% of Debt		Principle	Interest	% of Debt		Principle	Inte	erest	% of Debt	Principle	Interest	Prin+Int	% of Debt	
2007	-	-							-	-	-		-	-			
2008	290,000	167,640	6%						-	-	-		290,000	167,640	457,640	2%	
2009	280,000	191,600	6%		60,000	26,531	1%		-	-	-		340,000	,	,	2%	
2010	260,000	180,400	6%		-	100,900	1%		-	-	-		260,000	,	,	2%	
2011	250,000	170,000	6%			100,900	1%		-	-	-		250,000	270,900	520,900	2%	
2012	300,000	160,625	6%			100,900	1%		-	-	-		300,000	261,525	561,525	2%	
2013	280,000	148,625	6%		45,000	100,900	1%		-	-	-		325,000	,	•	2%	
2014	285,000	137,425	6%		80,000	99,100	1%		-	-	113,180	2%	365,000	349,705	714,705	3%	
2015	295,000	126,025	6%		115,000	95,900	2%		-	-	139,538	3%	410,000	,	,	3%	
2016	305,000	114,225	6%		155,000	91,300	2%		-	-	139,538	3%	460,000	,	•	3%	
2017	315,000	98,213	6%		200,000	85,100	2%		-	-	139,538	3%	515,000	,	•	3%	
2018	320,000	81,675	5%		270,000	77,100	3%		-	-	139,538	3%	590,000	298,313	•	3%	
2019	300,000	68,875	5%		295,000	66,300	3%		80,000		139,538	4%	675,000	,	•	4%	
2020	100,000	56,875	2%		365,000	54,500	3%		335,000)	137,138	9%	800,000	248,513	1,048,513	4%	
2021	105,000	52,875	2%		325,000	38,250	3%		365,000)	129,600	10%	795,000	220,725		4%	
2022	110,000	48,675	2%		400,000	20,000	3%		415,000		115,000	10%	925,000	,		4%	
2023	115,000	44,138	2%		192,038	247,962	3%		470,000		98,400	11%	777,038	•		4%	
2024	120,000	39,394	2%		187,000	278,000	3%		520,000)	79,600	12%	827,000	396,994	1,223,994	5%	
2025	120,000	34,444	2%		181,290	308,710	4%		585,000		58,800	13%	886,290	,		5%	
2026	120,000	29,494	2%		174,262	335,738	4%		655,000		35,400	13%	949,262	•		5%	
2027	595,000	24,544	8%		168,760	366,239	4%		230,000)	9,200	5%	993,760	399,983	1,393,743	5%	
2028	146,137	433,863	8%		334,869	815,132	8%		-	-	-		481,005	1,248,995	1,730,000	7%	
2029	-	-			324,627	880,373	9%		-	-	-		324,627	880,373	1,205,000	5%	
2030	-	-			315,455	954,545	9%		-	-	-		315,455	954,545	1,270,000	5%	
2031	-	-			304,783	1,025,217	10%		-	-	-		304,783			5%	
2032	-	-			295,852	1,099,148	10%		-	-	-		295,852	1,099,148	1,395,000	5%	
2033	-	-			210,751	1,249,249	11%		-	-	-		210,751			6%	
Totals	5,011,137	2,409,629	7,420,765		4,999,686	8,617,994	13,617,680		3,655,000)	1,474,005	5,129,005	13,665,823	12,501,628	26,167,451	100%	

last 5 years 1,101,137 561,738 0.22 1,451,468 5,208,532 0.49 2,460,000 281,400 0.53